

PI

From: webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
Sent: 09 October 2014 22:13  
To: PI  
Subject: Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Kathleen George  
Address : 50 Church Street  
Woodside  
Aberdeen

Telephone : [REDACTED]  
Email : [REDACTED]  
type :

Comment : I object to the planning application for 19 serviced apartments on the grounds there is no provision for parking. Also looking at the plans it looks more like a H.M.O than serviced apartments.

The previous planning application suggested there could be an additional 14-16 vehicles, with 12 new parking spaces being created. There does not appear to be any detailed provision for parking in the plan of this application. Given that there are 19 double &#8220;apartments&#8221; which will house employees of Arnold Clark who will no doubt have access to vehicles therefore could potentially be 38 vehicles. The previous study concluded that there was restricted number of parking spaces on Church Street and Western Road. I am very concerned as to where the additional parking spaces are for another 38 vehicles, as the current applications only reference as far as I can see is to mark on the site plan 36 spaces on Church St. 12 on Western Road (then class that as EX on street parking what does EX stand for) surely this does not take into account that these parking spaces are already used up by existing residents. At the other end of Church Street we have a Church conversion to flats. Planning for this was approved with no provision for parking which has increased the parking problems in Church Street and the surrounding area, cars are frequently parked on double yellow lines, on corners of the roads, we also have problems with people parking over the entrance to our garages on a regular basis as there is no parking spaces available.

To approve this application with no parking provision for what could be a further 38 vehicles is not only ridiculous but dangerous to the residents of the area as I believe that the situation of parking illegally in the area will increase.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

From: webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
Sent: 06 October 2014 21:20  
To: PI  
Subject: Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : April Hutchison  
Address : 79 Western Road  
Woodside  
Aberdeen  
AB24 4DR

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : My reasons for objecting this application are; 1.The area is a private residential area and proposed application is for a business.

2.19 apartments will equal the guts of 19 extra cars on the surrounding streets which are already very congested especially at night when people are parking on the double yellow lines.

3.No car parking facilities provided for the use of staff that work for a car company.

4.Will lower house prices in the area.

5.Lack of community spirit because of staff/people turnover in the building and coming and going.

6.Apprehensive about what the bedsit style accommodation will be used for after Arnold Clark are done with it, it may be used for drug/drink/behavioural style rehab accommodation in an area that already struggles with these issues.

7.This type of business is more like a Travelodge and not in keeping with the area as private residential.

8.Extra traffic in a 20mph zone road where children are already having to play on street because of lack of green area.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

---

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 13 October 2014 10:26  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Elaine Mathieson  
Address : 69 Western Road  
Aberdeen  
AB24 4DP

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : I object to the application for the following reasons.

- 1) There is already a shortage of on street parking with vehicles frequently being parked on the pavement.
- 2) Assume that being staff for a car company that most will have their own transport again adding to the parking problem.
- 3) If Arnold Clark paid their staff a decent wage they would not have to provide accommodation for them.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

---

From: webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
Sent: 08 October 2014 19:57  
To: PI  
Subject: Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Dr Alison Brown

Address : 46 Church Street, Woodside, Aberdeen, AB24 4DQ

Telephone :

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : With reference to the proposed change of use application I note that:

1) There is insufficient parking available for this development. As it is, parking on Church Street is severely restricted due to the number of residences on the street, which includes a converted church with multiple flats and no parking provision.

2) The junction between Church Street, Grandholm Street and Western Road is already extremely dangerous. The increased traffic caused by potentially up to 38 more vehicles (based on the number of double rooms in the proposed block) will exacerbate this problem.

I made the same objections earlier in the year when a different proposal was lodged to turn this building into flats. It is quite clear, from the perspective of many Church Street residents, that without providing parking facilities, converting this building into flats is irresponsible.

Sincerely,

Dr. Alison Brown

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

---

From: webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
Sent: 09 October 2014 10:22  
To: PI  
Subject: Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Catherine Little  
Address : 36 Church Street  
Woodside

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : Almost all the local houses utilise on street parking on Church Street, Western Road and Clifton Road, and there is a shortage of parking spaces overnight, and when Woodside Church runs events (Evenings & Sunday mornings). Any additional accommodation being added must make provision for off street parking. There is not space on street for an additional 3 cars overnight, let alone 19.

Additionally, any area of flat roof should be rejected unless there are preventative measures to stop seagulls nesting.

Thanks very much.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

---

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 18 October 2014 15:43  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Mr. Mrs G D Gibson  
Address : 19 Church Street  
Woodside  
Aberdeen  
AB24 4DQ

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : There are 19 double bedrooms in this planning application, but we were wondering where 19 - 38 parking spaces are going to be situated. There is not enough parking in Church Street and Western Road to facilitate these vehicles. We have cars parking from flats all around Church Street already, some from Western Road , Queen Street, King Street, Grandholm Street, Clifton Road etc. Could you please explain parking issues.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.

PI

---

**From:** Graham Harvey [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 01 October 2014 14:57  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning application 141404 LA ref. 000099772-001

Dear Sir/ Madam

I object to the above planning application on the grounds that no provision has been made for parking. Also that it seems to be classed as serviced apartments when in fact looks like a HMO.

In the previous application of 30.1.14 the previous applicant had to do a parking survey, when at the most there could have been an additional 14 to 16 vehicles the applicant was creating 12 new parking spaces. This new application which will house employees of Arnold Clark who will no doubt have access to vehicles which could be up to 38 vehicles given it is 19 double bedrooms. No provision has been detailed on application of where these vehicles will be parked except to mark on site plan 36 spaces in Church Street, 12 on Western Road, then class that as Ex.On Street parking. (What the EX. stands for I do not know) The fact that the previous parking study concluded there was a restricted number of spaces on Church Street and Western road makes these spaces unavailable as parking consideration.

I have also mentioned previously, that a Church conversion to flats at the other end of Church Street from this development. It got planning approval with absolutely no parking provision. That has caused plenty problems for the residents of Church Street as I have described in my previous objection.

As I objected to the previous planning application in February this year on the grounds of parking availability, should I not have been notified of this latest application? Will my previous objection be taken into account for this application?

Yours faithfully

Graham Harvey

P141404

PI

**From:** margo lovell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 12 October 2014 12:38  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Proposed development at 80 western Road

I am writing to appeal this application for Arnold Clark premises. I feel that this premises should be sold to Stewart Milne or another private property developer. I feel it should be kept residential. It is nearby to a school and heavy traffic in this area is not needed (undue congestion), especially when schoolchildren are at risk. I feel that Aberdeen city in the outskirts has generally nice residential areas, let's not let large retail holders devastate our neighbourhood when there is enough already in Aberdeen in more suited locations. I am all for bringing business and jobs into an area but we must be clever and locate them in the right area for a viable city. Let's be sensible and not let greed take over, think first.

Please can you let me know if this application is too late as no date on notice and the outcome of this proposed plan.

Kind Regards

Margo Lovell



PI

---

From: webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
Sent: 18 October 2014 14:28  
To: PI  
Subject: Planning Comment for 141404

Comment for Planning Application 141404

Name : Stuart Lindsay

Address : 32 Church Street

Telephone :

Email : [REDACTED]

type :

Comment : I raise my concern regarding the lack of provision of residential parking for the development.

The development is sited in a predominantly residential area. The density of properties in this area is particularly high due to the majority being of small multi-storey flat types. The vast majority of these residential properties do not have private off-street parking, which therefore increases the demand for the current limited on-street parking.

The development is sited at the junction of Western Road and Church Street. On-street parking to both of these streets are heavily used by not only the residents of both of these streets but also by residents of surrounding streets where on-street parking is limited or non-existent. This lack of parking is mostly an issue in the evenings, particularly on weekday evenings when Woodside Parish Church and Community Centre (situated on Church Street) hold weekly events. Due to the lack of private off-street parking provided by the Church and Community centre, visitors predominately park on Church Street and Western Road forcing a large number of local residents to park in adjoining streets and further.

This development has the potential to increase the demand for on street parking by a minimum of 19 vehicles which the area simply could not absorb. Due to the nature of the applicants business there is the possibility of parked vehicles being of a commercial type, hindering parking further and vehicular movement, particularly on Church Street where the road is a single carriageway when on-street parking is utilised to both sides of the road.

On the grounds of the above, and more so the fact that this application does not consider anywhere near close to planning parking standards, I expect this application to be refused.

Kind regards,

Stuart Lindsay

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** This e-mail (including any attachment to it) is confidential, protected by copyright and may be privileged. The information contained in it should be used for its intended purposes only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender by reply email, delete the received email and do not make use of, disclose or copy it. Whilst we take reasonable precautions to ensure that our emails are free from viruses, we cannot be responsible for any viruses transmitted with this email and recommend that you subject any incoming email to your own virus checking procedures. Unless related to Council business, the opinions expressed in this email are those of the sender and they do not necessarily constitute those of Aberdeen City Council. Unless we expressly say otherwise in this email or its attachments, neither this email nor its attachments create, form part of or vary any contractual or unilateral obligation. Aberdeen City Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring.